UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE MERRILL, BOFA, AND MORGAN STANLEY SPOOFING LITIGATION

Master Docket No. 19-cv-6002 (AJN)

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: ALL ACTIONS

NOTICE OF MOTION TO DISMISS BY DEFENDANTS JOHN PACILIO AND EDWARD BASES

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that upon (i) the accompanying Memorandum of Law, and (ii) the Bank Defendants' Joint Memorandum of Law in Support of their Motion to Dismiss the Consolidated Class Action Complaint, filed contemporaneously herewith, Defendants John Pacilio and Edward Bases (the "Individual Defendants"), by their undersigned counsel, will respectfully move this Court, before the Honorable Judge Alison Nathan, United States District Judge, Southern District of New York, for an order pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) dismissing Plaintiffs' Consolidated Class Action Complaint (ECF 27), on the following grounds:

- (1) Plaintiffs' claims under the Commodity Exchange Act ("CEA") and for unjust enrichment are barred by the applicable statute of limitations;
- (2) The CEA does not provide a private right of action to pursue alleged "spoofing" violations, and Plaintiffs' claims are based on spoofing, not manipulation;
- (3) Plaintiffs fail to allege that they suffered actual damages;
- (4) Plaintiffs fail to plead CEA manipulative device claims for conduct that occurred before August 15, 2011 because the relevant statute and rule were not in effect before that time;

Case 1:19-cv-06002-AJN Document 35 Filed 01/13/20 Page 2 of 3

(5) Plaintiffs fail to state a claim for unjust enrichment;

Plaintiffs fail to state a claim against the Individual Defendants for market (6)

manipulation;

(7) Plaintiffs fail to state a claim against the Individual Defendants for manipulative

device; and

(8) Plaintiffs fail to state a claim against the Individual Defendants for principal/agent

liability.

The support for grounds (1) through (5) above are set forth in the Bank Defendants' Joint

Memorandum of Law in Support of their Motion to Dismiss the Consolidated Class Action

Complaint, filed at ECF 34, and the support for grounds (6) through (8) above are set forth in the

Individual Defendants' accompanying Memorandum of Law in support of their motion to dismiss.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT pursuant to the so-ordered stipulation

entered into by the parties, dated September 13, 2019, ECF 15, Plaintiffs shall serve any paper in

opposition on or before March 13, 2020, and Defendants shall serve any reply no later than April

13, 2020.

Dated: January 13, 2020

By: /s/ David H. McGill

David H. McGill

Jonathan D. Cogan

KOBRE & KIM LLP

800 Third Avenue

New York, New York 10022

(212) 488-1200

david.mcgill@kobrekim.com

2

Erika L. Berman (pro hac vice forthcoming)
Geoffrey J. Derrick
KOBRE & KIM LLP
1919 M Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 664-1900
erika.berman@kobrekim.com
geoffrey.derrick@kobrekim.com

Attorneys for John Pacilio

By:/s/ Evan Cohen (on consent)

Evan Cohen
David Allen
FINN DIXON & HERLING
6 Landmark Square
Stamford, CT 06901
(203) 325-5000
ECohen@fdh.com
DAllen@fdh.com

Attorneys for Edward Bases